

Neutrino interaction studies in the near detector of the T2K Experiment

Caetano Ternes¹ Chirag Verma²

Supervisor: Dr. Marcela Batkiewicz-Kwaśniak

¹UFSC, Brazil

²KMC, DU, India

IFJ PAN PPSS Programme
July 30, 2021

Outline

- 1 Brief history of Neutrinos
- 2 The T2K Experiment
- 3 Charged Current Neutrino-Nuclei interactions
- 4 The Analysis
- 5 Summary

Brief history of neutrinos

- 1914: Chadwick's observation of continuous β decay spectrum.
- 1930: Pauli's proposal for a new particle to explain β decay spectrum.
- 1933**: Fermi's neutrino and β decay theory.
- 1956**: Reines and Cowan observed the first neutrino interaction.
- 1962**: $\bar{\nu}_e$ discovery at BNL, USA.
- 1975: τ lepton discovered at SLAC... ν_τ proposed.
- 1986: Solar Neutrino Problem.
- 1989**: LEPC's measurement of Z^0 properties... Exactly three light neutrinos.
- 1998: Atmospheric neutrino oscillations.
- 2000**: DONUT discovered ν_τ .
- 2001: Solar neutrino deficit explained by SNO
- 2013**: First observation of high-energy astrophysical neutrinos by IceCube

The T2K Experiment

Long baseline neutrino oscillation experiment in Japan since 2010. The experiment sends a beam of muon neutrinos from Tokai to Kamioka at a distance of 295 km.

<https://t2k-experiment.org/t2k/>

World's first μ -axis neutrino experiment!

DOI: 10.1088/1367-2630/16/7/075015

Why $\theta = 0$ -Axis?

The average energy of the neutrino decreases with the deviation from the beam axis.

$\theta = 0$ chosen to maximize the probability of oscillation at the far detector.

Near Detector and Components

ND280 Detector

- | 3 vertical Time Projection Chambers
- | active material: plastic scintillator
- | passive materials: water, iron, lead
- | beam content before oscillations
- | neutrino interaction studies

Fine Grain Detector-1

- | plastic scintillator bars
- | target for neutrino interactions

Time Projection Chamber

- | Filled with Argon-based gas mixture
- | Used for momentum and $\frac{dE}{dX}$ measurements

ECal

- | plastic and lead bars
- | surrounds the inner part of ND280

Figure: ND280

Charged Current Neutrino-Nuclei interactions

CC interactions

mediated by W^{+} bosons

Figure: CCQE

Figure: RES

NC interactions

mediated by Z^0 boson

Figure: DIS

Figure: non-RES

Aim of the project and Event Selection

The following analysis was done using sample of events containing pre-selected candidate starting in FGD1 Fiducial Volume.

To select tracks having at least 18 hits and starting inside the FGD1 Fiducial Volume.

To identify particles based on $\frac{dE}{dx}$ and other information.

To select CC-1⁺ interactions by choosing events with 1⁺ and 1 or 0 proton candidates based on pull variables.

To calculate kinematical properties related to selected neutrino interactions.

To calculate purity and efficiency of the selection.

Products of CC-1⁺
signal interaction

,⁺, and 0 or 1
proton

Pull Variable

$$P_a = \frac{\left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)_{\text{teor}_a} \left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)_{\text{exp}}}{\text{exp}}$$

Choosing Candidates

The Chosen Candidates

(T2K)-Not always possible to distinguish particles based on $\frac{dE}{dx}$

Reactions and Topologies

Reaction: Primary neutrino nucleon interaction type

Topology: Reaction type based on the particles leaving the nucleus

Purity and Efficiency

The estimation of the quality of event selection is done by observing the following quantities.

Purity

$$\frac{\text{Number of selected signal events}}{\text{Number of all selected events}}$$

Efficiency

$$\frac{\text{Number of selected signal events}}{\text{Number of all signal events}}$$

True ν /s Reconstructed Energies

The green line shows ideal reconstruction.

Kinematical Properties from Reconstruction

Fermi Momentum of a nucleon
is $250\text{MeV}/c$

Summary

We studied nucleon-neutrino interactions in the T2K near detector.

We analyzed the characteristics of $\bar{\nu}_\mu +$ interaction with 0 and 1 proton samples.

We also reconstructed kinematic properties for the $\bar{\nu}_\mu +$ interaction.

A similar analysis for $\bar{\nu}_\mu +$ interactions (mainly CCQE reactions) was made for comparison, but not added in this presentation.

Acknowledgements and Thanks

We would like to thank the PPSS 2021 organising committee for providing us with this opportunity.

Special thanks to our supervisor Dr Marcela Batkiewicz-Kwaśniak for her timely help and professional guidance.

Thank You!

Backup Slides

Ponte Corvo, Maki, Nakagawa, Sakata matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} & 0 & 1 & 0 & c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & s_{23} & c_{23} & s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$; $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$; $\delta = \text{CP}$

black : e

blue:

red:

Super-Kamiokande Detector

Located 1000m underground.

Contains 50,220 tonnes of ultrapure water.

A water-Cherenkov detector.

No magnetic field to distinguish particles from anti-particles.

particle identification based of light ring shape

Nature

